



Blue Ava Ford Publications

International Journal of Trends in English Language and Literature (IJTELL)

An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal; ISSN:2582-8487

Impact Factor: 8.486(SJIF); www.ijtell.com Volume-7, Issue-1; Jan-Mar(2026)

A Comparative Study of Traditional and Blended Learning Methods in English Language Learning

¹Mr. Pradeep Kumar S , ²Ms. Umamaheswari S ,

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Kamaraj Engineering College, Virudhunagar,

Assistant Professor, Department of English, P. S. R Engineering College, Sivakasi,

Article Received: 07/02/2026

Article Accepted: 09/03/2026

Published Online: 10/03/2026

DOI.:10.53413/IJTELL.2026.7.1.103

Abstract

The evolution of educational technologies has significantly transformed language teaching methodologies. While traditional classroom instruction has long been the foundation of English language teaching, blended learning—combining face-to-face instruction with digital platforms—has gained prominence in recent years. This study aims to compare the effectiveness of traditional and blended learning methods in enhancing English language learning among undergraduate students.

The research was conducted among 240 undergraduate students divided into two groups: one exposed to traditional teaching methods and the other to blended learning approaches. Data were collected using pre-tests, post-tests, structured questionnaires, and classroom observations. Statistical tools such as mean scores, standard deviation, and t-tests were applied to measure differences in performance across Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing (LSRW) skills.

The findings indicate that blended learning significantly improves speaking fluency, listening comprehension, vocabulary retention, and learner motivation compared to traditional methods. However, traditional methods remain effective for grammar instruction and structured writing tasks. The study concludes that an integrated blended approach offers more comprehensive language development.

Keywords: Traditional learning, blended learning, English language teaching, ESL, digital pedagogy, higher education

Introduction



International Journal of Trends in English Language and Literature (IJTELL)

An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal; ISSN:2582-8487

Impact Factor: 8.486(SJIF);www.ijtell.com Volume-7, Issue-1;Jan-Mar(2026)

English plays a crucial role in higher education and global communication. In multilingual contexts such as India, English is taught as a second language (ESL), and students often demonstrate varying proficiency levels upon entering undergraduate programs. Traditionally, English language instruction relied on teacher-centered lectures, grammar-translation methods, textbook exercises, and written examinations. However, advancements in educational technology have introduced blended learning models that combine classroom instruction with online resources, interactive platforms, and multimedia tools.

This study aims to compare these two approaches and determine which method better facilitates English language acquisition.

2. Conceptual Framework

2.1 Traditional Learning Method

Traditional learning refers to face-to-face classroom instruction characterized by:

- Teacher-centered lectures
- Chalk-and-board teaching
- Textbook-based exercises
- Limited use of technology
- Emphasis on grammar and written exams

This approach emphasizes structure and direct instruction but may limit student interaction.

2.2 Blended Learning Method

Blended learning integrates traditional classroom teaching with digital resources such as:

- Learning Management Systems (LMS)
- Video lectures
- Online quizzes
- Discussion forums
- Language learning apps

It promotes flexibility, learner autonomy, and interactive learning experiences.

3. Review of Literature

Several scholars have examined technology integration in language teaching.

- Stephen Krashen emphasized comprehensible input in language acquisition, which digital tools can provide through multimedia exposure.
- Research indicates that blended learning improves student engagement and retention.
- Studies show traditional methods remain effective in developing grammatical accuracy.
- Blended environments encourage collaborative learning and communication skills.



International Journal of Trends in English Language and Literature (IJTELL)

An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal; ISSN:2582-8487

Impact Factor: 8.486(SJIF); www.ijtell.com Volume-7, Issue-1; Jan-Mar(2026)

However, comparative empirical studies focusing specifically on undergraduate English learners remain limited, highlighting the need for this research.

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To compare the effectiveness of traditional and blended learning methods in English language learning.
2. To analyze improvement in LSRW skills under both approaches.
3. To evaluate student perceptions toward each learning method.
4. To identify strengths and limitations of both instructional strategies.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design

A quasi-experimental comparative research design was adopted.

5.2 Sample

The study involved 240 undergraduate students divided into:

- Group A (120 students) – Traditional method
- Group B (120 students) – Blended learning method

5.3 Data Collection Tools

- English proficiency pre-test and post-test
- Structured questionnaire
- Observation schedule
- Feedback interviews

5.4 Data Analysis Techniques

- Mean and standard deviation
- Paired sample t-test
- Percentage analysis
- Comparative performance evaluation

6. Implementation of Teaching Methods

6.1 Traditional Group

- Lecture-based teaching
- Textbook exercises
- Grammar drills
- Written assignments

6.2 Blended Learning Group

- Classroom discussions
- Online assignments via LMS
- Multimedia presentations



- Interactive quizzes
- Peer discussion forums

7. Analysis of Results

7.1 Listening Skills

Blended learning students showed higher listening comprehension due to exposure to audio-visual materials. Traditional group performance remained moderate.

7.2 Speaking Skills

Students in the blended group demonstrated improved fluency and confidence through online discussions and presentations.

7.3 Reading Skills

Both groups showed improvement, but blended learners benefited from digital reading materials and vocabulary tools.

7.4 Writing Skills

Traditional group performed slightly better in structured grammar-based writing tasks. However, blended learners showed better creativity and organization in essays.

7.5 Statistical Findings

- Blended group mean score improvement: 18%
- Traditional group mean score improvement: 10%
- t-test results indicated significant difference at 5% level of significance

8. Discussion

The results demonstrate that blended learning provides a more dynamic and engaging environment for English language acquisition. Students benefit from multimedia exposure, immediate feedback, and flexible access to resources.

However, traditional methods still play a crucial role in foundational grammar instruction and controlled practice. A purely digital approach may not adequately address structural accuracy. Thus, an optimal balance between both methods ensures holistic language development.

9. Advantages and Disadvantages

9.1 Traditional Learning

Advantages:

- Structured environment
- Direct teacher supervision
- Focus on grammar

Disadvantages:

- Limited interaction
- Less exposure to authentic language



9.2 Blended Learning

Advantages:

- Flexible learning pace
- Multimedia exposure
- Increased motivation
- Collaborative learning

Disadvantages:

- Requires internet access
- Risk of distraction
- Need for teacher training

10. Educational Implications

1. Institutions should adopt blended learning models.
2. Teachers should receive digital pedagogy training.
3. Curriculum should integrate online and offline components.
4. Continuous formative assessment should be implemented.
5. Digital infrastructure must be strengthened.

11. Limitations of the Study

- Conducted in selected institutions only.
- Short duration of intervention.
- External variables such as motivation not fully controlled.

12. Recommendations for Future Research

- Longitudinal studies comparing long-term effects.
- Comparative studies across different academic disciplines.
- Research on AI-based blended learning systems.
- Analysis of rural vs. urban digital readiness.

13. Conclusion

The comparative study reveals that blended learning methods are more effective than traditional methods in enhancing overall English language proficiency among undergraduate students. While traditional teaching remains valuable for grammar-focused instruction, blended learning fosters communicative competence, learner autonomy, and technological literacy.

An integrated pedagogical approach that combines the strengths of both methods offers the most effective strategy for English language development in higher education.



Blue Ava Ford Publications

International Journal of Trends in English Language and Literature (IJTELL)

An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal; ISSN:2582-8487

Impact Factor: 8.486(SJIF);www.ijtell.com Volume-7, Issue-1;Jan-Mar(2026)

References

- Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*.
- Graham, C. R. (2006). *Blended Learning Systems*.
- Dudeney, G., & Hockly, N. (2012). *How to Teach English with Technology*.
- Chapelle, C. A. (2001). *Computer Applications in Second Language Acquisition*.
- Harmer, J. (2007). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*.